EVN Amplitude Calibration

Jay Blanchard

EVN TOG MEETING, Granada, October 4 2018





Session 3 2017 Oct

• The following table gives the <u>median absolute</u> <u>error</u> in the antenna gain amplitude. This number will be approximately half the error in the SEFD and is the same that you see in AIPS gain plots. The number in brackets after each entry is the number of experiments that were used.

	21cm	18cm	6cm
BD	0.21 (1)	0.06 (10)	0.11 (5)
EF	0.12 (2)	0.12 (13)	0.07 (18)
нн		0.11 (6)	0.07 (13)
IR		0.46 (2)	0.36 (14)
J2	0.33 (2)	0.16 (11)	0.06 (13)
MC		0.47 (13)	0.24 (14)
NT			0.16 (11)
08	0.16 (2)	0.20 (11)	0.28 (9)
RO		0.84 (1)	
SV	0.37 (2)	0.07 (10)	0.09 (6)
Т6		0.23 (9)	0.11 (12)
TR		0.28 (12)	0.07 (14)
UR	0.21 (2)	0.37 (11)	0.54 (7)
WB	0.29 (2)	0.18 (12)	0.18 (18)
YS			0.06 (18)
ZC	0.25 (2)	0.10 (10)	0.25 (5)

amplitude+phase versus channel N17C3 unique: sess317.C2048nme/14:22:00.00/J1848+3219 Pol=RL,LL,LR,RR;Nsub=8;;; [Vector avg'ed 0/14h19m00.50s->14h24m59.50s]

data: n17c3.2048.ms [DATA] jops@<??> 2018-10-02T17:18:58 page: 1/1



RL _____ LL ____ LR ____ RR

Session 1 2018 Feb

• The following table gives the <u>median absolute</u> <u>error</u> in the antenna gain amplitude. This number will be approximately half the error in the SEFD and is the same that you see in AIPS gain plots. The number in brackets after each entry is the number of experiments that were used.

18cm

BD	0.06 (2)
EF	0.15 (4)
нн	0.07 (5)
IR	
J2	0.48 (4)
MC	0.40 (5)
NT	
08	0.17 (5)
RO	
SV	0.15 (2)
Т6	0.28 (3)
TR	0.20 (5)
UR	0.69 (2)
WB	0.17 (2)
YS	
ZC	0.11 (2)





✓ X-Band, K-Band and Q-Band



Gain-Elevation Curves

- Be careful!
 - Occurred in 18cm observation 2017_II

The POLY line in the antabfs which defines the gaincurve polynomial was found to be crashing AIPS's APCAL task in the EVN pipeline.

- The original values:
- POLY=-32.5107411463,0.986751123831,-0.00726391333786
- First value is exceptionally large.
- POLY line from a 5cm Irbene experiment had something more rational looking:
- POLY=0.895462558131,0.00336916335962,-2.71463804443e-05
- By using the 5cm gain curve polynomial in the 18cm experiment (with a DPFU correction to match the SEFD to that expected from the EVN status table [because SEFD = Tsys / DPFU*POLY]) it was possible to get reasonable solutions from the APCAL task when re-running the pipeline.
- After notifying the station, Irbene responded that there was no appropriate L-band gain curve at the time and that the C-band one can be used in the meantime.

Antabfs and feedback shame

- JB
 - No antabfs.
 - But feedback now yay!
- Tianma
 - Multiple times no antabfs
 - EG093B, EG102C
 - Sometimes no reply to emails.
 - No feedback (n17l3)
- Irbene
 - N18SX1 (no reply)
 - EG102E
 - No feedback session 3 nmes?
- Kvasars
 - N18SX1
 - N18K2
- Torun
 - EC057C
 - N17L3
- Noto
 - EC057C (no reply)

• Westerbork EG102C, EG102D, RG009C

:) Many stations updated their antabfs scripts!

:/ Bug in antabfs.py min.60s

