

<u>Notes:</u> C. Jaillot

R. Beswick

These two STM are exactly the same date and perspond the same work. The topic (proming access to activity data), the topader of knowledge (LCAR) data processing, analysis and integrated on and the impact data (large of a fact) the users community) are clear and it seems to me that there is a benefit for the community. But it seems inder that both oppications are asymptic for the same. Instating the tests of the tool. If understood committy, that Settings is leading the tool development in the farme of his thesis and he has its expond of discharge Velenes (enclusion). If we choose to support only one person of these two people, I would support J. Steinbargs (even he has already neceived a support twice) to allow him to finalize the tool and make it available to the users community.

1) Veitners: very useful SMT to ald the development of standalone capabilities for the Latvian LOFAR station as well gaining skills in VIRAC for LOFAR operations and exploitation. Builds on knowledge transfer work that started under H0202 BALTICS programme which has now finished. 2) Seiniberges: emitric objectives as vehres— and are all very good. Overall think this is dava especially as Vehrens is more technical/operational think. General: An around in the arbitrare more from the direct, heater as more vehicles and programme which has now finished. Passe started to Phone Phone of Baltic House and a set of the direct set of the direct

hence should happen. If there was a preference (or we have to choose between the two) Steinbergs would be the first choice. However he has had previous support granted.